UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,991	03/06/2007	Victor A. Raul	DOC 0170 PA	5824
23368 DINSMORE &	7590 10/26/201 SHOHL LLP	EXAMINER		
FIFTH THIRD CENTER, ONE SOUTH MAIN STREET SUITE 1300 DAYTON, OH 45402-2023			PIHONAK, SARAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/576,991	RAUL ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
SREENI PADMANABHAN	1627	
	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review fi	led 8 September 2010			
Improper Request – The Request is improper and a creason(s):	•			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent w ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-App ☐ Other: 	s appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice o				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	is as follows:			
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been held. Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains applicant at this time.				
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. action will be mailed. No further action is required by application.				
All participants:				
(1) <u>/SREENI PADMANABHAN/</u> . (3) <u>S</u>	arah Pihonak.			
(2) <u>Jean Witz</u> . (4)				